Models of the origin of EGMF

Kandaswamy Subramanian ^a

^aInter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune 411 007, India.





- Need Coherent seed fields and Dynamos
- Origin in the early Univserse?
- Cosmic Batteries?

Plan

- The turbulent fluctuation dynamo: Young galaxies, clusters, IGM?
- The turbulent helical large scale (galactic) dynamo
- Main Problem: Coherence in presence of noise? Helicity?

A. Brandenburg & K. Subramanian, Physics Reports, 417, 1-205 (2005) K. Subramanian, A. Shukurov, N. Haugen, MNRAS, 2006, 366, 1437

- K. Subramanian, "Magnetizing the Universe", PoS proceedings, arXiv:0802.2804
- K. Subramanian, Magneic fields in the early universe, AN, 2010, 331, 110



The magnetic Universe

- Earth (1 Gauss; Irregular reversals over 2×10^5 yr)
- **Sun (** $1 10^3$ gauss; 11 yr Solar cycle)
- Galaxies (10 micro Gauss; ordered: 10 kpc)
- Clusters of Galaxies (microgauss, 10 kpc scales)
- Young galaxies (microgauss)
- Inter galactic medium? ($B \ge 3 \times 10^{-16}$ Gauss; Mpc scales)

How do these fields arise?



Maintaining magnetic fields

- Magnetic fields decay if not maintained, because of:
 - \blacktriangleright Resistance dissipating currents ($\sim 20,000$ yr for earth)
 - Lorentz force Driving motions, which are damped by Viscosity or become turbulent and then decay
- EM induction by Motions can maintain magnetic fields

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\sigma}.$$

- Motion in a magnetic field induces electric fields
- If this electric field has a curl, can re-generate magnetic fields

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{U} \times \boldsymbol{B}) + \eta \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{B}.$$

Magnetic Field almost frozen to moving plasma. Need initial seed field – Early Universe? Batteries? Need kinetic to magnetic energy conversion — dynamos





Early Universe timeline

(http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/research/gr/public/images)



Primordial fields from Inflation?

Origin during Inflation: (Turner and Widrow, PRD, 1988; Ratra 1992)

- Rapid expansion vacuum fluctuations amplified and stretched to long wavelength "classical" fluctuations
- Negligible charge density breaks flux freezing.
- **BUT Need to break conformal invariance of ED**
- **EM wave amplified from vacuum fluctuations**
- After reheating \mathbf{E} shorted out and \mathbf{B} frozen in.

 $(d\rho_B/d\ln k) = \left(C(\gamma)/2\pi^2\right) H^4(-k\eta)^{4+2\gamma} \approx (9/4\pi^2) H^4 \quad \text{(for } \gamma = -2)$ $B_0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-10} \text{G}\left(\frac{H}{10^{-5}M_{pl}}\right) \qquad \text{(KS, 2010)}$

- **Exponentially sensitive to parameters, as need** $B \sim 1/a^{\epsilon}$
- Need huge growth of charge: a Problem? (Demozzi et al, 2009)



From Electroweak/QCD Phase transition?

- Correlation scale usually tiny: $H^{-1} \sim 1 \text{ cm}$ (EW) or $\sim 10^4 \text{ cm}$ QCD phase transition or comoving $R_H \sim 100 \text{AU}/0.1 \text{ pc}$
- Unless Helicity generation/Conservation leads to Inverse Cascade (Brandenburg et al, PRD 96, Banerjee & Jedamzik, 2004)
- Magnetic Helicity $H = \int_V \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \, dV$, $\nabla \times \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ A is vector potential, V is closed volume
- Measures links and twists in B



- Helicity is nearly conserved even when energy dissipated
- Helicity generation during EW baryogenesis: $H/V \sim n_b/\alpha!$ (Vachaspati, 2001; Copi et al 2008; Diaz-Gil et al, 2008)



Inverse cascade of helical B

 \otimes



- Assuming helicity conservation, $H \sim LB^2 \sim LE \sim$ constant.
 - so $dE/dt \sim E/(L/v) \sim E^{5/2}/H \to L \propto B^{-2} \propto t^{2/3}$ (Sim. $L \propto t^{1/2}$).

Probing Early Universe B

- $B^2/(8\pi\rho_{rad}) \sim 10^{-7} B_{-9}^2$. Here $B_{-9} = B_0/(10^{-9}G)$
- Magnetic stress \Rightarrow metric perturbations, including Grav. Waves
- Lorentz force $\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}/c \Rightarrow$ almost incompressible motions
- Overdamped by radiative viscosity, unlike compressible modes. Survives damping for $L_A > (V_A/c)L_{Silk} \ll L_{Silk}$ (Jedamzik et al, 1998; Subramanian, Barrow 1998)
- CMB anisotropies from metric and velocity perturbations: Intrinsically Non Gaussian (Seshadri, Subramanian, PRL, 2009; Caprini et al, JCAP, 2009; Trivedi, Subramanian, Seshadri, PRD, 2010)
- Post recombination: $n_{rad}/n_b \gg 1 \Rightarrow$ compressible motions \Rightarrow seeds $\delta \rho / \rho \Rightarrow$ First Structures
- B field Dissipation \rightarrow Ionization, Heating, Molecules

Coherent primordial nG fields potentially detectable



CMB signals: scalar+Tensor + Vector

 $B_{\lambda} = 4.7 \mu$ G, $n \sim -3$, Including passive component, Shaw & Lewis, arXiv:0911.2714





 \otimes

Astrophysical "seed" field mechanisms

- Astrophysical Batteries using postive/negative charge asymmetry
- Biermann Batteries: $\mathbf{E}_{Bier} = -\nabla p_e / en_e + \dots$

 $(\partial \mathbf{B}/\partial t) = -c\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{Bier} = -(ck/en_e)\nabla n_e \times \nabla T_e$

- If \mathbf{E}_{Bier} has a curl then from Faraday, \mathbf{B} can be generated
- **Re-Ionization fronts**: $B < 10^{-19}$ G (Subramanian et al 1994, Gnedin et al, 2000),
- Structure formation Shocks (Kulsrud et al, 1997)
- **During recombination**: $B_0 \sim 10^{-30}$ G at MPc (Gopal & Sethi, 2005; Mattarrese et al, 2005); $B_0 \sim 10^{-21}$ G at pc (Ichiki et al 2007)
- Cosmic Ray induced generation? (Miniati, Bell 2010)
- Seed fields from first supernovae and AGN outflows

Need Dynamos to explain observed fields and maintain against decay



Magnetic fields from Reionization

HI, gas density, temperature and B field; Gnedin, Ferrara, Zweibel, 2000, ApJ





Small scale turbulent dynamo

- Turbulence common in the cosmos: Stars, galaxies, galaxy clusters, IGM?
- Turbulence leads to Random Stretching of magnetic flux;
- Then Flux freezing \Rightarrow Growth of B
- BA = constant and $\rho AL = \text{constant} \rightarrow B/\rho \propto L$, and $A \propto 1/(\rho L)$
- Resistance limits growth when $v/L \sim \eta/l_B^2$ or $l_B \sim L/R_{\rm M}^{1/2}$
- Random B grows if $R_{\rm M} = VL/\eta > R_{crit} \sim 30 100$ (Kazantsev 1967)
- Growth rate fast $\sim v/L$ (10⁷ yr: Galaxies; 10⁸ yr clusters)
- Field intermittent, curved on scale L, squeezed to small transverse scales $\sim L/R_m^{1/2} \ll L$



Generated B intermittent : Simulations by Axel Brandenburg, 2005











Fluctuation dynamo saturation?

- Renormalized η drives efective $R_{\rm M} \rightarrow R_{crit}$, $l_B \sim L/R_{crit}^{1/2}$, Saturated state universal (Subramanian, PRL, 1999; 2003).
- Faraday RM Histogram for $P_m = 1, 1/4, 30$; explains cluster RM (Subramanian, Shukurov, Haugen, MN, 2006) (Ensslin, Vogt, A&A 2006)



• Saturation due to Reduced stretching BUT $l_B \sim L/R_M^{1/2}$! Plasma effects crucial (Schekochihin, Cowley et al., ApJ, 2004, 2006)

Important for Cluster/young galaxy/IGM Faraday RM



Kazantsev with Helicity: Tunneling?

Helicity of turbulence allows 'tunneling' to larger scales than L (Subramanian, 1999, PRL; Brandenburg, Subramanian, A&A Lett, 2000)

• For
$$\dot{M}_{\rm L} \approx 0$$
, $\dot{H} \approx 0 \to -\eta_{\rm T} (d^2 \Psi/dr^2) + \Psi \left[U_0 - (\alpha^2(r)/\eta_{\rm T}(r)) \right] = 0$,





Helically forced turbulent dynamos



Rapid growth in kinematic stage conserving helicity.



Further Slow Growth on resistive timescale (dissipating small-scale helicity)

Turbulent Mean-Field Dynamo: Galactic

- $\mathbf{U} = \overline{\mathbf{U}} + \mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{B} = \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \mathbf{b}$: Mean + Stochastic fields
- Mean field satisfies DYNAMO equation

$$\frac{\partial \overline{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left(\overline{\mathbf{U}} \times \overline{\mathbf{B}} + \overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} - \eta (\nabla \times \overline{\mathbf{B}}) \right);$$

Finding $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{b}}$ is a closure problem: $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \alpha \overline{\mathbf{B}} - \eta_{turb} (\nabla \times \overline{\mathbf{B}})$

$$\underbrace{\alpha = -(\tau/3) \langle \mathbf{u} \cdot \omega \rangle}_{\text{alpha-effect}}; \quad \underbrace{\eta_{turb} = (\tau/3) \langle \mathbf{u}^2 \rangle}_{\text{Turbulent diffusion}}$$

- Galactic Shear generates B_{ϕ} from B_r
- Supernovae drive HELICAL turbulence (Due to Rotation + Stratification)
- Helical motions generate B_r from B_ϕ
- Exponential growth of $\overline{\mathbf{B}}$, $t_{growth} \sim 10^8 10^9$ yr





- In galaxies supernovae drive turbulence
- Rotation + Stratification makes turbulence helical



Large scale turbulent galactic dynamo





Kinematic Limit?

Helicity (links) conservation? Mean field in presence of noise?



Helicity and dynamo quenching



Anvar and Natasha Shukurov 2009

- Helical motions transfer helicity between WRITHE AND TWIST Helicities
- Lorentz force of small-scale twist Helicity grows to kill the dynamo
- Unless one has helicity fluxes



Helicity flux aleviates dynamo quenching

- But what is gauge invariant helicity density and flux?
- Small scale helicity density h is density of correlated b field links (Subramanian & Brandenburg, ApJ Lett., 2006)

$$\partial h/\partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = -2\overline{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{B}} - 2\eta \overline{\mathbf{j}} \cdot \mathbf{b}$$

Fluxes due to simple advection could be important (Shukurov, Sokoloff, Subramanian, Brandenburg, AA Lett., 2006)







IUCAA

IUCAA Linking number is $(4 \times 1) + (4 \times -1) = 0!!$



Summary

- The Universe is magnetized
- Early universe / Cosmic batteries make the first seed fields
- Supernovae/AGN driven Outflows? But need efficient dynamo action.
- > Dynamos required to amplify/maintain fields.
- Can dynamos lead to coherent fields on saturation?
- Magnetic helcity needs to be shed to make large-scale dynamos work
- How to do mean field theory in presence of strong noise?
- How strong a role does the Early universe play?

